

United States District Court
Northern District of Illinois – CM/ECF LIVE, Ver 6,1 (Chicago)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:14-cv-06753

Malibu Media LLC v. Doe
Assigned to: Honorable Milton I. Shadur
Demand: \$150,000
Cause: 17:101 Copyright Infringement

Date Filed: 09/02/2014
Jury Demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 820 Copyright
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff**Malibu Media LLC**

represented by **Mary K. Schulz**
Schulz Law, P.C.
1144 E. State Street
Suite A260
Geneva, IL 60134
224-535-9510
Fax: 224-535-9501
Email: SchulzLaw@me.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

John Doe
subscriber assigned IP address
67.184.147.223

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
09/02/2014	<u>1</u>	COMPLAINT filed by Malibu Media LLC; jury demand. Filing fee \$ 400, receipt number 0752-9819950. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Civil Cover Sheet)(Schulz, Mary) (Entered: 09/02/2014)
09/02/2014	<u>2</u>	ATTORNEY Appearance for Plaintiff Malibu Media LLC by Mary K. Schulz (Schulz, Mary) (Entered: 09/02/2014)
09/02/2014		CASE ASSIGNED to the Honorable Milton I. Shadur. Designated as Magistrate Judge the Honorable Maria Valdez. (nsf,) (Entered: 09/02/2014)
09/04/2014	<u>3</u>	MINUTE entry before the Honorable Milton I. Shadur: This order is being entered shortly after the filing of the Complaint. Counsel for plaintiff are ordered to cause a copy of this order to be delivered forthwith to defendant in the same manner that process has been or is being served on defendant. There will be a status hearing—a "scheduling conference", as that term is used in attached Fed. R. Civ. P. ("Rule") 16(b), at 9:00 a.m. on October 21. If defendant has been served with process or has appeared at least 28 days before that Status Hearing Date, counsel for both parties are ordered to meet not later than 14 days before the Status Hearing Date to comply with the provisions of Rules 26(f) and 26(a) (c) and this District Court's LR 26.1 Counsel for the parties are urged to undertake serious settlement efforts before the scheduled Status Hearing when no major investment in counsel's time (and clients' money) has yet taken place. If such efforts are unsuccessful, counsel should be prepared to attend the scheduled Status Hearing to discuss briefly their proposed discovery plan and other subjects appropriate for inclusion in the scheduling order as referred to in Rule 16(b). No written status report is to be filed. Although this Court will not set a close-of-discovery scheduling order until both sides have a good sense of the time needed for that purpose, the parties are urged to join in setting their own target dates in that respect at their initial Rule 26(f) conference and to review those target dates regularly during the discovery process. If any party is unrepresented by counsel, that party must comply with this order personally. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit) (lcw,) (Entered: 09/04/2014)

09/05/2014	<u>4</u>	MAILED Copyright Request Letter to counsel of record. (mgh,) (Entered: 09/05/2014)
------------	----------	---