

HUTCHENS LAW OFFICES, PLLC
Beth A. Hutchens (Arizona Bar No. 26837)
1212 E. Osborn Ste. 104
Phoenix, Arizona, 85014
480.310.2325 (phone)
888.891.1408 (fax)
beth@hutchenslawoffices.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Liberty Media Holdings, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA, PHOENIX DIVISION

Liberty Media Holdings, LLC, a
California Limited Liability Company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Arizona Members of Swarm of
November 18, 2010 to November
2010, Sharing Hash File
A3E6F65F2E3D672400A5908F64ED
55B66A0880B8; and DOES 1 through
4,

Defendants.

Case No. _____

(Copyright) _____

COMPLAINT

- (1) **DIRECT COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT – 17 U.S.C. § 501**
- (2) **CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT**
- (3) **CIVIL CONSPIRACY**
- (4) **NEGLIGENCE**

Plaintiff, Liberty Media Holdings (hereinafter “Liberty” or the “Plaintiff”) files this complaint against multiple unknown Defendants and alleges as follows:

I. NATURE OF THE CASE

1. Plaintiff is the registered owner of the copyright to a motion picture, “Down on the Farm” (hereinafter the “Motion Picture”). A true and correct copy

1 of the Certificate of Registration for the Motion Picture is attached hereto as
2 Exhibit 1.

3 2. Defendants, whose true identities are currently unknown, acted in a
4 collective and interdependent manner in the unlawful reproduction and distribution
5 of Plaintiff's Motion Picture using BitTorrent file transfer protocol.

6 3. Each time a Defendant unlawfully distributes a copy of Plaintiff's
7 copyrighted Motion Picture to others over the Internet, particularly via BitTorrent,
8 each recipient can then distribute that unlawful copy of the Motion Picture to
9 others without degradation in sound or picture quality. Thus, a Defendant's
10 distribution of even a single unlawful copy of the Motion Picture can result in the
11 nearly instantaneous worldwide distribution of that single copy to a limitless
12 number of people. In this case, each Defendant's copyright infringement built
13 upon the prior infringements, in a cascade of infringement.

14 4. Plaintiff seeks redress for the Defendants' rampant infringement of its
15 exclusive rights in the Motion Picture, and for injunctive relief to stop Defendants
16 from continuing to infringe upon Plaintiff's copyrighted works.

17 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

18 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims for
19 copyright infringement and related claims pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et. seq.,
20 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

21 6. Defendants either reside in, solicit, transact, or are doing business
22 within the Jurisdiction; they have committed unlawful and tortious acts both within
23 and outside the Jurisdiction with the full knowledge that their acts would cause
24 injury in this Jurisdiction. As such, Defendants have sufficient contacts with this
25 judicial district to permit the Court's exercise of personal jurisdiction over each

26 7. Plaintiff's claims arise out of the Defendants' conduct which gives
27 rise to personal jurisdiction over Defendants.

1 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(2) and
2 1400(a). Although the true identities of each and every member of the collective
3 formed by the Defendants is unknown to the Plaintiff at this time, on information
4 and belief, each Defendant in this particular action may be found in this District as
5 the Plaintiff has, through the use of publicly available sources, traced each of the
6 Internet Protocol (“IP”) addresses to sources in Arizona.

7 **III. THE PARTIES**

8 **A. The Plaintiff, Liberty Media Holdings, LLC**

9 9. Liberty is a California LLC with a mailing address of 302 Washington
10 Street, Suite 321, San Diego, CA 92103.

11 **B. The Defendants**

12 10. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate,
13 associate or otherwise, of defendants John Does 1-4 are unknown to Plaintiff, who
14 therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff knows each
15 Defendant only by the Internet Protocol (“IP”) address assigned to the account
16 used by the Defendant by the account holder’s Internet Service Provider (“ISP”) on
17 the date and at the time at which the infringing activity of each Defendant was
18 observed. The IP address used by each Defendant thus far identified, together with
19 the date and time at which his or her infringing activity was observed is listed
20 below.

21 11. Each of the IP addresses noted in this Complaint has specifically been
22 traced to a physical address located in this jurisdiction and in this district. The Doe
23 Defendants all reside in this jurisdiction and district, the complained of acts
24 occurred in Arizona, and/or the Doe Defendants have sufficient contacts such that
25 they could reasonably be expected to be haled into court here.

26 12. Plaintiff intends to subpoena the ISPs that issued the Defendants’ IP
27 addresses in order to learn the identity of the account holders for the IP addresses
28 below. In most cases, the account holder will be the proper defendant in this case.

1 However, further discovery may be necessary in some circumstances in order to be
2 certain of the identity of the proper defendant.

3 13. The Defendants are a group of BitTorrent users or peers whose
4 computers are collectively interconnected for the sharing of a particular unique
5 file, otherwise known as a “swarm”. The particular file a BitTorrent swarm is
6 associated with has a unique “hash” (a file identifier generated by an algorithm
7 developed and implemented by the National Security Agency). The hash value in
8 this case is identified as A3E6F65F2E3D672400A5908F64ED55B66A0880B8
9 (hereinafter the “A3E Hash”).

10 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each
11 of the Defendants was and is the agent of the other Defendants, acting within the
12 purpose and scope of said agency. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and
13 based thereon alleges that each of the Defendants authorized and ratified the
14 conduct herein alleged of each of the other Defendants.

15 15. Plaintiff believes that information obtained in discovery will lead to
16 the identification of each of the Defendants’ true names and permit the Plaintiff to
17 amend this Complaint to state the same. Plaintiff further believes that the
18 information obtained in discovery may lead to the identification of additional
19 infringing parties to be added to this Complaint as defendants. Plaintiff will amend
20 this Complaint to include their proper names and capacities when they have been
21 determined. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each
22 of the fictitiously named Defendants participated in and are responsible for the acts
23 described in this Complaint and damage resulting therefrom.

24 16. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that each of the Defendants
25 named herein performed, participated in, or abetted in some manner, the acts
26 alleged herein, proximately caused the damages alleged and are liable to Plaintiff
27 for the damages and relief sought herein.

28

1 17. Each of the fictitiously named Defendants engaged in their copyright
2 infringement scheme together. They all shared and republished the same Motion
3 Picture, between the dates of November 18, 2010 through November 21,2010, and
4 thus collectively participated in the same swarm sharing the A3E Hash.

5 18. The torrent swarm in this case is not an actual entity, but is rather
6 made up of thousands of individuals, located throughout the world, acting in
7 concert with each other, to achieve the common goal of infringing upon the
8 Plaintiff's copyrights both by illegally duplicating the Plaintiff's Motion Picture
9 and illegally distributing the Plaintiff's Motion Picture.

10 **Defendant Doe 1**

11 19. Defendant Doe 1 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
12 72.208.27.1.

13 20. Doe 1 used this IP address to illegally republish and illegally
14 distribute copies of the Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture through the use of
15 the A3E Hash to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

16 21. The infringing activity took place on November 18, 2010 at 8:11:32
17 AM, UTC.

18 22. As Doe 1 was the first one detected as having distributed the Motion
19 Picture, Doe 1 is, on information and belief, the initial propagator of the A3E Hash
20 in the Bit Torrent swarm of November 18, 2010.

21 **Defendant Doe 2**

22 23. Defendant Doe 2 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
23 68.231.8.6.

24 24. Doe 2 used this IP address to illegally republish and illegally
25 distribute the Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture through the use of the A3E
26 Hash to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

27 25. The infringing activity took place on November 18, 2010 at 11:36:52
28 PM, 15 hours, 25 minutes, and 20 seconds after Doe 1.

1 **Defendant Doe 3**

2 26. Defendant Doe 3 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
3 72.208.147.165.

4 27. Doe 3 used this IP address to illegally republish and illegally
5 distribute the Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture through the use of the A3E
6 Hash to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

7 28. The infringing activity took place on November 20, 2010 2:18:50
8 AM, UTC, 1 day, 2 hours, 41 minutes, and 58 seconds after Doe 2.

9 **Defendant Doe 4**

10 29. Defendant Doe 4 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
11 98.177.223.36.

12 30. Doe 4 used this IP address to illegally republish and illegally
13 distribute the Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture through the use of the A3E
14 Hash to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

15 31. The infringing activity took place on November 21, 2010 at 12:20:57
16 AM, UTC, 22 hours, 2 minutes, and 7 seconds after Doe 3.

17
18 **IV. COPYRIGHT AND BITTORRENT**

19 32. BitTorrent is a peer-to-peer file sharing protocol used for distributing
20 and sharing data on the Internet, including files containing digital versions of
21 motion pictures. Rather than downloading a file from a single source, the
22 BitTorrent protocol allows users to join a "swarm," or group, of hosts to download
23 and upload from each other simultaneously. The process works as follows:

- 24 a. First, users download a torrent file onto their computer. This file
25 contains a unique hash code known as the SHA-1 hash – which is a
26 unique identifier generated by a mathematical algorithm developed
27 by the National Security Agency. This torrent file contains a
28 “roadmap” to the IP addresses of other users who are sharing the

1 media file identified by the unique hash value, as well as specifics
2 about the media file. The media file could be any large file, such
3 as a digital motion picture or music file.

4 b. Second, the user opens the torrent file with a BitTorrent program,
5 also known as a BitTorrent “client” application, which is capable
6 of reading the roadmap encoded in the torrent file. This client
7 program, after reading the roadmap, connects “uploaders” of the
8 file (i.e. those that are distributing the content) with “downloaders”
9 of the file (i.e. those that are copying the content). During this
10 process, the client reaches out to one or more “trackers” that are
11 identified on the roadmap. A tracker is an Internet server
12 application that records the IP addresses associated with users who
13 are currently sharing any number of media files identified by their
14 unique hash values and then directs a BitTorrent user’s computer
15 to other users who have the particular file each user is seeking to
16 download.

17 33. For a BitTorrent user, this process is quite simple. When a BitTorrent
18 user seeks to download a motion picture, he or she merely opens the appropriate
19 torrent file, which may be found online on any number of torrent search engine
20 websites, using a BitTorrent client application.

21 34. Because BitTorrent client software generally lacks the ability to
22 search for torrents, end-users use search engines or other websites that contain
23 indices of torrent files to find files being made available by other BitTorrent users.
24 These torrent files do not contain audio or visual media, but instruct the user’s
25 BitTorrent client where to go and how to obtain the desired file.

26 35. The downloading user’s BitTorrent client then extracts a list
27 containing one or more tracker locations, which it then uses to connect to at least
28 one tracker that will identify IP addresses where the file is available. Each IP

1 address identifies an uploading user who is currently running a BitTorrent client on
2 his or her computer and who is currently offering the desired motion picture file
3 for download. The downloading user's BitTorrent software then begins
4 downloading the motion picture file without any further effort from the user, by
5 communicating with the BitTorrent client programs running on the uploading
6 users' computers.

7 36. The life cycle of a file shared using BitTorrent begins with just one
8 individual – the initial propagator, sometimes called a “seed” user or “seeder.”
9 The initial propagator intentionally elects to share a file with a torrent swarm. The
10 original file, in this case, contains Plaintiff's entire copyrighted work.

11 37. Other members of the swarm connect to the seed to download the file,
12 wherein the download creates an exact digital copy of Plaintiff's copyrighted work
13 on the downloaders' computers. As additional infringers request the same file,
14 each additional infringer joins the collective swarm, and each new infringer
15 receives the same or different pieces of the file from each other thief in the swarm
16 who has already downloaded any part of the file. Eventually, once the initial
17 propagator has distributed each piece of the file to at least one other infringer, so
18 that together the pieces downloaded by members of the swarm comprises the
19 whole motion picture when reassembled, the initial propagator may leave the
20 swarm, and the remaining infringers can still obtain a full copy of the motion
21 picture by exchanging the pieces of the motion picture that each one has.

22 38. Files downloaded in this method are received in hundreds or even
23 thousands of individual pieces. Each piece that is downloaded is immediately
24 thereafter made available for distribution to other users seeking the same complete
25 file. The effect of this technology makes every downloader also an uploader of the
26 content. This means that every user who has a copy of the infringing material in a
27 swarm may also be a source for later downloaders of that material.

1 39. In the BitTorrent world, there is honor among thieves. Those who
2 merely download files, without publishing and sharing files, are derisively called
3 “leechers.”

4 40. Being a leecher is not only a negative due to the pejorative
5 terminology, but leechers are also punished by the torrent swarm.

6 41. BitTorrent’s protocol stalls the downloads of leechers, in an effort to
7 preserve network speed for the more prolific appropriators. The sharing of files as
8 users receive them, then, is inherent in BitTorrent’s use for the protocol to be of
9 any utility to the end user.

10 42. This distributed nature of BitTorrent leads to a rapid viral sharing of a
11 file throughout the collective peer users. As more peers join the collective swarm,
12 the frequency of successful downloads also increases. Because of the nature of
13 BitTorrent protocol, any seed peer that has downloaded a file prior to the time that
14 a subsequent peer downloads the same file is automatically a source for the
15 subsequent peer, so long as that first peer is online at the time the subsequent peer
16 requests download of the file from the swarm. Because of the nature of the
17 collective swarm downloads as articulated above, every infringer is – and by
18 necessity together – simultaneously both stealing the Plaintiff’s copyrighted
19 material and redistributing it.

20 43. Plaintiff has recorded each Defendant named herein actually
21 publishing the Motion Picture via BitTorrent.

22 44. Plaintiff’s Motion Picture is easily discernable as a professional work.
23 Plaintiff created the works using professional performers, directors,
24 cinematographers, lighting technicians, set designers and editors. Plaintiff created
25 each work with professional-grade cameras, lighting, and editing equipment.

26 45. Each of Plaintiff’s works is marked with Plaintiff’s trademark
27 (CORBIN FISHER[®]), a copyright notice, a warning that unauthorized copying is
28 illegal and will be prosecuted, and a statement as required by 18 U.S.C. § 2257 that

1 age verification records for all individuals appearing in the works are maintained at
2 corporate offices in San Diego, California.

3 46. At various times, Plaintiff discovered and documented its copyrighted
4 work being publicly distributed by Does 1-27 by and through the BitTorrent
5 network.

6 47. Defendants, without authorization, copied and distributed audiovisual
7 works owned by and registered to Plaintiff in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1) and
8 (3).

9 **V. DEFENDANTS ARE MEMBERS OF A SINGLE BITTORRENT**
10 **SWARM**

11 48. Defendants are peer members who have all collectively participated in
12 the same peer-to-peer (hereinafter, “P2P”) network swarm that was utilized to
13 unlawfully infringe upon Plaintiff’s exclusive rights in its copyrighted film without
14 permission.

15 49. Defendants initiated their infringement by searching for and obtaining
16 a torrent file containing information sufficient to locate and download Plaintiff’s
17 copyrighted Motion Picture. Thereafter, each Defendant opened the torrent file
18 using a BitTorrent client application that was specifically developed to read such
19 files.

20 50. The unique file identifier generated by an algorithm developed by the
21 National Security Agency associated with the instant action is the A3E Hash. Each
22 Defendant is a member of the same collective swarm associated with the A3E
23 Hash, and each acted collectively, and in concert, in effectuating the illegal and
24 unauthorized sharing of Plaintiff’s copyrighted work.

25 51. Each Defendant owns or otherwise has control of a different computer
26 collectively connected to the Internet that contained – or possibly still contains – a
27 torrent file identifying Plaintiff’s copyrighted work. Each computer also contained
28

1 or still contains Plaintiff's copyright work, which was downloaded using the
2 information encoded in that torrent file.

3 52. All of the Defendants republished and duplicated the Plaintiff's
4 Motion Picture. Moreover, they did not only replicate the same motion picture, but
5 all of the Defendants, as members of the same swarm, republished, duplicated, and
6 replicated the precise same copy and same A3E Hash version of the Motion
7 Picture, thus demonstrating that all of the Defendants shared and replicated the
8 same motion picture with one another, thus linking them all together in a massive
9 conspiracy and concerted effort to deprive the Plaintiff of its exclusive rights in the
10 Motion Picture under the Copyright Act.

11 53. Defendant peers each utilized a torrent file to upload and download
12 Plaintiff's copyrighted film without permission through use of the BitTorrent file
13 transfer protocol.

14 54. Each Defendant peer, consistent with using a BitTorrent P2P network,
15 obtained a torrent file containing sufficient information to locate and download a
16 copy of Plaintiff's Motion Picture.

17 55. After each Defendant peer downloaded a torrent containing
18 information concerning sources of Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture, each
19 Defendant used that information to connect to other Defendants for the purpose of
20 sharing Plaintiff's copyrighted work with other members of the BitTorrent
21 collective network.

22 56. Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture was then uploaded and
23 downloaded through a single swarm collective among the various Defendants in
24 concert – all members sharing the same exact video, using the same exact hash
25 identifier.

26 57. Once connected to the BitTorrent swarm sharing Plaintiff's
27 copyrighted Motion Picture, the Defendant peers shared the Motion Picture
28 between each other by trading small portions of the file containing a digital copy of

1 the Motion Picture. More precisely, the BitTorrent network divided the original
2 copyrighted work into many small pieces and distributed these pieces throughout
3 the swarm until each of the collectively participating Defendants in the swarm had
4 a partial or complete infringing copy of the Motion Picture.

5 58. Based on this information, Defendants all participated in the same
6 collective swarm, infringing upon Plaintiff's exclusive rights in its work by
7 uploading (distributing) and downloading (reproducing) Plaintiff's copyrighted
8 film, and through their actions each Defendant assisted each and every other
9 Defendant, each members of the P2P network swarm, to illegally download
10 Plaintiff's copyrighted work.

11 **VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **(Copyright Infringement 17 U.S.C. § 501)**

13 59. The Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations
14 contained in each paragraph above.

15 60. Plaintiff is, and at all relevant times has been, the copyright owner of
16 the copyrighted work infringed upon by all Defendants, "Down on the Farm."
17 Exh. 1.

18 61. Among the exclusive rights granted to each Plaintiff under the
19 Copyright Act are the exclusive rights to reproduce the Motion Picture and to
20 distribute it – rights which Defendants maliciously and intentionally infringed
21 upon.

22 62. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that
23 Defendants without the permission or consent of Plaintiff, have used, and continue
24 to use, the BitTorrent file transfer protocol to distribute the Motion Picture to the
25 public, and/or make the Motion Picture available for distribution to others,
26 including other BitTorrent users. In doing so, Defendants have violated Plaintiff's
27 exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution. Defendants' actions constitute
28

1 infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights and exclusive rights under the Copyright
2 Act.

3 63. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that the
4 foregoing acts of infringement were willful and intentional.

5 64. As a result of Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights and
6 exclusive rights under the Copyright Act, Plaintiff is entitled to either actual or
7 statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), and to its attorney fees pursuant
8 to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

9 65. The conduct of Defendants is causing and will continue to cause
10 Plaintiff great and irreparable injury. Such harm will continue unless the
11 Defendants are enjoined from such conduct by this Court. Plaintiff has no
12 adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 502 and 503, Plaintiff is entitled
13 to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendants from further infringing Plaintiff's
14 copyrights, and ordering Defendants to destroy all copies of the Motion Picture
15 made in violation of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the Copyright Act.

16 **VII. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **(CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT)**

18 66. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations
19 contained in each paragraph above.

20 67. It is helpful to think of the process of "torrenting" in the context of a
21 constructed puzzle. In furtherance of sharing this puzzle, it is deconstructed into
22 tiny pieces. These pieces are then uploaded and distributed among one or more
23 peers. When an infringer seeks to download the original file, he or she downloads
24 a torrent file containing information concerning where each of the distributed
25 pieces of the file can be found, i.e., how to find and contact each peer. Each
26 torrent file that contains information about the same original file is contains the
27 same "hash" value. A "hash value" is a string of letters and numbers that uniquely
28 identifies the original file that the torrent file that may be used to locate and

1 download the torrent file. This torrent file is capable of locating all the unique
2 corresponding pieces that make up the original file (and any additional copies of
3 each piece that may be available). Once all the pieces are located and downloaded
4 they are reconstructed back into the original order completing the entire original
5 copyrighted file.

6 68. When users all possess the same infringing work with the same exact
7 hash value (as in this case), it is because each infringer possesses an exact digital
8 copy, containing the exact bits unique to that file, of the original work. In essence,
9 although hundreds of users may be uploading the copyrighted work, each will
10 receive only the exact parts of a singular hash, not a compilation of available
11 pieces from various hashes.

12 69. Each of the Defendants published the precise same “hash” file,
13 described in Paragraph 13, to the BitTorrent network.

14 70. Each Defendant downloaded, uploaded and distributed the Motion
15 Picture to each other, in concert with one another and through use of the exact
16 same protocol.

17 71. Because it is the exact same motion picture, using the exact same
18 hash, in the same general timeframe, the transaction of events at issue in this
19 Complaint is common to all Defendants, thus rendering the Defendants properly
20 joined in this action.

21 72. BitTorrent users upload infringing works in concert in order to gain
22 access and ability to download other infringing copyrighted works.

23 73. As each of the thousands of people who illegally downloaded the
24 movie accessed this illegal publication, they derived portions of their illegal
25 replication of the file from multiple persons, including but not limited to the
26 Defendants named in this action.

27 74. The Defendants knew of the infringement, were conscious of their
28 own infringement, and the Defendants were conscious of the fact that multiple

1 other persons derivatively downloaded the file containing the Plaintiff's Motion
2 Picture.

3 75. The infringement by other BitTorrent users could not have occurred
4 but for the Defendants' participation in uploading the Plaintiffs protected work. As
5 such, the Defendants' participation in the infringing activities of others is
6 substantial.

7 76. The Defendants each profited from this contributory infringement by
8 way of being granted access to a greater library of other infringing works, some of
9 which belonged to the Plaintiff and some of which belonged to other copyright
10 owners.

11 **VIII. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**
12 **(CIVIL CONSPIRACY)**

13 77. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations
14 contained in each paragraph above.

15 78. Without authorization, each of the Doe Defendants uploaded and
16 distributed Plaintiff's copyrighted works by and through the BitTorrent file transfer
17 protocol.

18 79. The BitTorrent file transfer protocol is typically used to locate,
19 reproduce, and distribute infringing content.

20 80. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the
21 material made available by and through the BitTorrent file transfer protocol
22 includes a substantial amount of obviously unauthorized material including, for
23 example, first run feature films prior to DVD or even box office release.

24 81. In order to access and use the BitTorrent file transfer protocol, a user
25 must first download special software called a BitTorrent client.

26 82. The center of the conspiracy is the scheme to traffic in infringing
27 content. If authorities remove any of the BitTorrent trackers from service, the
28 others may continue to operate.

1 83. The purpose of the BitTorrent file transfer protocol (i.e., for certain
2 participants to identify themselves as a source for a file hash to one or more
3 trackers and thereby facilitate the reproduction and distribution of infringing copies
4 of copyrighted works between a network of coconspirators) is apparent to any user
5 who downloads a BitTorrent client and uses the client for that purpose.

6 84. Once a user identifies and selects the infringing content he or she
7 wants to download, he or she can then use the BitTorrent client to locate that file,
8 or any portion thereof, on the computers of other users offering the file for
9 distribution and then transfer the infringing file to his or her computer.

10 85. The transfer of infringing files cannot occur without the existence and
11 assistance of the participant users, including the Defendants named herein, who
12 supply the infringing content.

13 86. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that each
14 of the Defendants downloaded and/or used/distributed a BitTorrent client for the
15 purpose of conspiring with other BitTorrent users to reproduce and distribute
16 movies in violation of copyright laws.

17 87. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that at the
18 time each Doe Defendant downloaded and/or used/distributed a BitTorrent client
19 for the purpose of obtaining or sharing Plaintiff's movie, he or she knew the client
20 would provide access to infringing movies made available by other users and
21 would allow the Defendant to provide the infringing movie to other BitTorrent
22 users.

23 88. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that at
24 the time each Doe Defendant downloaded and/or used/distributed a BitTorrent
25 client for the purposes of obtaining or sharing Plaintiff's movie, he or she intended
26 to access a network of other BitTorrent users for the purpose of reproducing and
27 exchanging infringing copies of the movie in violation of copyright laws.

28

1 89. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that
2 BitTorrent clients reward users for making content available to others by enabling
3 faster download speeds for those who make content available.

4 90. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that each
5 Defendant without authorization offered large amounts of infringing content to
6 others, knowing that other BitTorrent users would download the infringing content
7 and further distribute it in exchange for still more infringing content.

8 91. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each
9 Defendant distributed infringing movies in anticipation of receiving copies of
10 infringing movies in return, including Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture.

11 92. Each Doe Defendant knew or should have known that the infringing
12 content the Defendant downloaded to her or his computer came from the
13 computers of other users, who made the content available to him or her, as well as
14 others in the same network of BitTorrent users, in violation of copyright laws.

15 93. Each Doe Defendant understood the nature of the conspiracy to
16 violate copyrights and agreed to join the conspiracy by downloading a BitTorrent
17 client with the intention of using that BitTorrent client, or simply using a
18 BitTorrent client previously downloaded, to knowingly download, reproduce, and
19 distribute infringing files with coconspirators.

20 94. Each Defendant engaged in an unlawful act in furtherance of the
21 conspiracy when he or she, without authorization, used a BitTorrent client to
22 download, reproduce, and distribute copies of Plaintiff's copyright registered
23 works.

24 95. Defendants, all and each of them, conspired with the other Defendants
25 by agreeing to provide infringing reproductions of various copyright protected
26 works, including Plaintiff's works, in exchange for infringing reproductions of
27 other copyright protected works, including Plaintiff's works.

28

1 103. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants' failure
2 to secure their Internet access allowed for the copying and sharing of Plaintiff's
3 Motion Picture by use of the BitTorrent protocol on Defendants' respective
4 Internet connections, and interfering with Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the
5 copyrighted work.

6 104. Upon information and belief, by virtue of this unsecured access,
7 Defendants negligently allowed the use of their Internet access accounts to perform
8 the above-described copying and sharing of Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture.

9 105. Upon information and belief, had Defendants taken reasonable care in
10 securing access to their Internet connections, such infringements as those described
11 above would not have occurred by the use of their Internet access accounts.

12 106. Upon information and belief, Defendants' negligent actions allowed
13 others to unlawfully copy and share Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture,
14 proximately causing financial harm to Plaintiff and unlawfully interfering with
15 Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the Motion Picture.

16 **PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

- 17 1. For an injunction providing that:

18 Each Defendant shall be and hereby is enjoined from directly
19 or indirectly infringing upon the Plaintiff's copyrights in the Motion
20 Picture or any other works, whether now in existence or later
21 created, that are owned or controlled by Plaintiff (or any parent,
22 subsidiary, or affiliate of Plaintiff), including without limitation by
23 using the Internet or any online media distribution system to
24 reproduce (i.e., download) any of Plaintiff's works, to distribute
25 (i.e., upload) any of Plaintiff's works, or to make any of Plaintiff's
26 works available for distribution to the public, except pursuant to a
27 lawful license or with the Plaintiff's express consent. Each
28 Defendant also shall destroy all copies of Plaintiff's works that

1 Defendant has downloaded onto any computer hard drive or server
2 and shall destroy all copies of those downloaded works transferred
3 onto any physical medium or device in each Defendant's
4 possession, custody, or control.

5 2. For damages for each infringement of each copyrighted work pursuant
6 to 17 U.S.C. § 504. These damages may be actual or statutory, but if statutory
7 damages are elected, the Defendants' acts were willful in nature, justifying an
8 award of up to \$150,000 per infringement, and Plaintiff reserves the right to make
9 such an election.

10 3. For Plaintiff's costs in this action.

11 4. For Plaintiff's attorneys' fees incurred in bringing this action.

12 5. For such other and further relief, either at law or in equity, general or
13 special, to which Plaintiff may be entitled that the Court deems just and proper.

14
15 Dated this 4 day of May, 2011.
16
17
18

19 /s/



20 Beth Hutchens
21 Hutchens Law Offices, PLLC
22 1212 E. Osborn Ste. 104
23 Phoenix, Arizona, 85014
24 480.310.2325 (phone)
25 888.891.1408 (fax)
26 beth@hutchenslawoffices.com
27
28