

FILED
2011 JUL -6 P 3:52
RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1 Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
2 Steele Hansmeier PLLC
3 38 Miller Avenue, #263
4 Mill Valley, CA 94941
5 415-341-5318
6 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com

7 *Attorney for Plaintiff*

E-filing

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 FIRST TIME VIDEOS, LLC,
11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 DOES 1-186
14 Defendants.

JCS
No **CV - 11 3310**
Judge: ---

COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

15
16
17 **COMPLAINT**

18 NOW COMES Plaintiff First Time Videos, LLC, ("FTV") by and through its undersigned counsel,
19 and complains and alleges as follows:

20 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

21 1. This action is reactionary. Plaintiff brings this civil action under the United States
22 Copyright Act and its related conspiracy claim to combat the Doe Defendants' intentional
23 infringement of Plaintiff's copyrighted creative works. The Doe Defendants, whose names Plaintiff
24 expects to ascertain during expedited discovery, illegally reproduced and distributed Plaintiff's
25 copyrighted creative works over an Internet computer network peer-to-peer "sharing" website and,
26 upon information and belief, continue to do so as of the filing of this suit.
27
28

1 2. Per N.D. Cal. Local Rule 3-5, this Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction over
2 the copyright infringement claim under 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, *et seq.*, (commonly referred to as “the
3 Copyright Act”), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (granting federal courts federal question jurisdiction over civil
4 actions arising under the laws of the United States), and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) (granting federal courts
5 original jurisdiction over any Congressional acts relating to copyrights). This Court has
6 supplemental jurisdiction over the civil conspiracy claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because it is
7 directly related to Plaintiff’s copyright infringement claim, which is within this Court’s original
8 jurisdiction, such that the two claims form part of the same case and controversy under Article III of
9 the United States Constitution.
10

11 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over all of the parties because, upon credible
12 information and belief gathered by Plaintiff, all the Doe Defendants either reside or committed
13 copyright infringement in the State of California. Plaintiff used geolocation technology to trace the
14 IP addresses of each Doe Defendant to a point of origin within the State of California. This Court
15 also has personal jurisdiction over non-resident Defendants under the California long-arm statute,
16 California Code of Civil Procedure § 410.10, because they downloaded copyrighted content from, or
17 uploaded it to, California residents, and thus committed copyright infringement in and through this
18 State, and engaged in a civil conspiracy to commit copyright infringement with California residents.
19
20 (*See also* Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“FRCP”) 4(k)(1)(A)).
21

22 4. Venue is properly founded in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)
23 and 1400(a) because, on information and belief, Doe Defendants reside in this District, may be found
24 in this District, and/or committed acts in this District giving rise to Plaintiff’s claims. Per N.D. Cal.
25 Local Rule 3-2(c), this intellectual property action is exempt from these venue requirements.
26

27 5. Joinder of Defendants is proper for just adjudication because all Defendants
28 participated in a civil conspiracy to commit copyright infringement, which comprised of a series of

1 transactions that ultimately ended in the Doe Defendants' illicit distribution of Plaintiff's unique
2 Work amongst one another. The series of transactions in this case involved exchanging pieces of the
3 Work's file over the Internet amongst Doe Defendants with each Doe Defendant sharing pieces of
4 Plaintiff's copyrighted file with each other (otherwise known as "torrent swarming") to obtain a
5 complete copy of Plaintiff's Work. The nature of the BitTorrent distribution protocol necessitates a
6 concerted action by many people in order to disseminate files, such as Plaintiff's Work. Due to
7 BitTorrent's setup and this concerted action, it is impossible for individuals to the simply download
8 files on BitTorrent without the active participation of others. Doe Defendants in this case, in order
9 to download Plaintiff's Work, intentionally engaged in this concerted action with other Doe
10 Defendants and other yet unnamed individuals on BitTorrent by entering the torrent swarm. The
11 Doe Defendants are properly joined even if they were not engaged in a contemporaneous swarm
12 because they have contributed to the chain of data distribution due to their prior involvement in like
13 swarms. Doe Defendants also share the same questions of law with respect to their copyright
14 infringement, including, but not limited to:

- 17 (A) Whether Plaintiff is the rights holder of the copyrighted works at issue;
18 (B) Whether "copying" has occurred within the meaning of the Copyright Act;
19 (C) Whether entering a "torrent swarm" constitutes a willful act of infringement;
20 (D) Whether entering a "torrent swarm" constitutes a civil conspiracy; and
21 (E) Whether, and to what extent, Plaintiff has been damaged by the Doe Defendants'
22 conduct.
23

24 All of these questions should be answered as part of a single suit for all of the reasons outlined by
25 FRCP 19(a). Such joinder is mandated if "feasible." Such joinder is entirely "feasible" in this case.

26 //

27 //

28

PARTIES

1
2 6. Plaintiff is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the
3 State of Nevada. FTV is a prominent producer of adult content within a variety of adult
4 entertainment niches. FTV's flagship site, ftvgirls.com, features over 2,500 videos and over 100,000
5 high resolution photographs. Well known for high production values and regular site updates, FTV
6 invests substantial capital in producing its content, and in particular its FTV Girls series of content.
7

8 7. The unique copyrighted work at issue in this case is an adult video entitled: "FTV
9 Girls - Allie" (hereinafter "Work").

10 8. Doe Defendants' actual names are unknown and unascertainable to Plaintiff. Instead,
11 Plaintiff knows each Doe Defendant only by an Internet Protocol address (hereinafter "IP address"),
12 which is a number assigned to devices, such as computers, connected to the Internet by an Internet
13 Service Provider (hereinafter "ISP"). In the course of monitoring Internet-based infringement of its
14 copyrighted content, Plaintiff's agents observed unlawful reproduction and distribution occurring
15 among IP addresses listed on Exhibit A, attached hereto, via the BitTorrent Internet protocol, an
16 Internet website allowing for "peer-to-peer" (hereinafter "P2P") data exchanging. Plaintiff believes
17 that the Defendants' identities will be revealed through expedited discovery, at which time Plaintiff
18 will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to identify Defendants by name.
19
20

BACKGROUND

21
22 9. BitTorrent is a modern file sharing method (hereinafter "protocol") used for
23 distributing data via the Internet.

24 10. Traditional file transfer protocols involve a central server, which distributes data
25 directly to individual users. This method is prone to collapse when large numbers of users request
26 data from the central server, in which case the server can become overburdened and the rate of data
27 transmission can slow considerably or cease altogether. In addition, the reliability of access to the
28

1 data stored on a server is largely dependent on the server's ability to continue functioning for
2 prolonged periods of time under high resource demands.

3 11. In contrast, the BitTorrent protocol is a decentralized method of distributing data.
4 Instead of relying on a central server to distribute data directly to individual users, the BitTorrent
5 protocol allows individual users to distribute data among themselves by exchanging pieces of the file
6 with each other to eventually obtain a whole copy of the file. When using the BitTorrent protocol,
7 every user simultaneously receives information from and transfers information to one another.
8

9 12. In BitTorrent vernacular, individual downloaders/distributors of a particular file are
10 called peers. The group of peers involved in downloading/distributing a particular file is called a
11 swarm. A server which stores a list of peers in a swarm is called a tracker. A computer program
12 that implements the BitTorrent protocol is called a BitTorrent client. Each swarm is unique to a
13 particular file.
14

15 13. The BitTorrent protocol operates as follows. First, a user locates a small "torrent"
16 file. This file contains information about the files to be shared and about the tracker, the computer
17 that coordinates the file distribution. Second, the user loads the torrent file into a BitTorrent client,
18 which automatically attempts to connect to the tracker listed in the torrent file. Third, the tracker
19 responds with a list of peers and the BitTorrent client connects to those peers to begin downloading
20 data from and distributing data to the other peers in the swarm. When the download is complete, the
21 BitTorrent client continues distributing data to other peers in the swarm until the user manually
22 disconnects from the swarm or the BitTorrent client otherwise does the same.
23

24 14. The degree of anonymity provided by the BitTorrent protocol is extremely low.
25 Because the protocol is based on peers connecting to one another, a peer must broadcast identifying
26 information (i.e. an IP address) before it can receive data. Nevertheless, the actual names of peers in
27
28

1 a swarm are unknown, as the users are allowed to download and distribute under the cover of their
2 IP addresses.

3 15. The BitTorrent protocol is an extremely popular method for transferring data. The
4 size of swarms for popular files can reach into the tens of thousands of unique peers. A swarm will
5 commonly have peers from many, if not every, state in the United States and several countries
6 around the world. And every peer in the swarm participates in distributing the file to dozens,
7 hundreds, or even thousands of other peers.

9 16. The BitTorrent protocol is also an extremely popular method for unlawfully copying,
10 reproducing, and distributing files in violation of the copyright laws of the United States. A broad
11 range of copyrighted albums, audiovisual files, photographs, software, and other forms of media are
12 available for illegal reproduction and distribution via the BitTorrent protocol.

14 17. Efforts at combating BitTorrent-based copyright infringement have been stymied by
15 BitTorrent's decentralized nature. Because there are no central servers to enjoin from unlawfully
16 distributing copyrighted content, there is no primary target on which to focus anti-piracy efforts.
17 Indeed, the same decentralization that makes the BitTorrent protocol an extremely robust and
18 efficient means of transferring enormous quantities of data also acts to insulate it from anti-piracy
19 measures.

20 **ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS**

21
22 18. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff has been the exclusive owner of the distribution
23 and reproduction rights of the Work at issue in this action.

24 19. Plaintiff is the author of the Work.

25 20. The Work is the subject of a copyright registration application and the application is
26 currently pending in the United States Copyright Office.

1 21. The Work is available only to individuals who actually subscribe for memberships to
2 Plaintiff's website, but was downloaded illicitly on well-known digital piracy websites in which the
3 content available for download consists of copyrighted material.

4 22. Plaintiff employs proprietary P2P network forensic software to perform exhaustive
5 real time monitoring of BitTorrent-based swarms involved in distributing Plaintiff's copyrighted
6 creative works. This software is effective and accurate in capturing data about the activity of peers
7 in a swarm and their infringing conduct.

8 23. Doe Defendants, without Plaintiff's authorization or license, intentionally
9 downloaded a torrent file particular to Plaintiff's Work, purposefully loaded that torrent file into
10 their BitTorrent clients, entered a BitTorrent swarm particular to Plaintiff's Work, and reproduced
11 and distributed the Work to numerous third parties.

12 24. Plaintiff observed the Doe Defendants' activities in the torrent swarm specific to the
13 Work and created a log of IP address identifying each Defendant and the date and time of the Doe
14 Defendant's activity, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

15
16 **COUNT I – COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT**

17 **(U.S. Copyright Act – 17 U.S.C. §§ 101-1332)**

18 25. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by this reference each and every allegation contained in
19 the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

20 26. Doe Defendants' conduct infringes upon Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction
21 and distribution that are protected under the Copyright Act.

22 27. Each Doe Defendant knew, should have known, or had some constructive knowledge
23 that their acts constituted copyright infringement.

1 28. Doe Defendants' conduct was willful within the meaning of the Copyright Act:
2 intentional, and with indifference to the Plaintiff's rights. Doe Defendants' active participation on
3 BitTorrent swarms relating to Plaintiff's Work make this fact abundently clear.

4 29. Plaintiff has been damaged by Doe Defendants' conduct, including but not limited to
5 economic and reputation losses. Plaintiff continues to be damaged by such conduct, and has no
6 adequate remedy at law to compensate Plaintiff for all of the past, and possibly future, damages
7 stemming from the Doe Defendants' conduct. In fact, further irreparable harm to Plaintiff's
8 copyrights and exclusive rights is imminent without Court intervention. Without restrictions, these
9 infringers will run rampant.

10 30. Plaintiff hereby reserves the right, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), to elect to recover
11 statutory damages for each infringement, in lieu of seeking recovery of actual damages.

12 31. As Defendants' infringement was intentional and willful, the Plaintiff is entitled to an
13 award of statutory damages, exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and the costs of the suit.

14 **COUNT II – CIVIL CONSPIRACY**

15 **(California Common Law Tort)**

16 32. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by this reference each and every allegation contained in
17 the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

18 33. In using the P2P BitTorrent file distribution method, each Doe Defendant participated
19 in, aided in, attempted to aid in, or at least knew of the formation and operation of a common-plan
20 conspiracy to unlawfully reproduce and distribute Plaintiff's Work by exchanging pieces of the
21 Work file in a torrent swarm on BitTorrent.

22 34. Doe Defendants, in participating in said conspiratorial file exchanging network,
23 agreed to engage in a concerted tortious action with other (currently discovered and undiscovered)
24 Doe Defendants on the network to reproduce and distribute Plaintiff's Work.

1 35. Each of the Doe Defendants was an active participant in downloading a torrent file,
2 opening it using a BitTorrent client, and then entering a torrent swarm comprised of other
3 individuals improperly distributing and reproducing Plaintiff's Work without Plaintiff's permission,
4 causing infringement damage to Plaintiff.

5
6 36. Participants in the torrent swarm, including Doe Defendants, have conspired to
7 provide other individuals with pieces of Plaintiff's Work in exchange for receiving other pieces of the
8 same Work, eventually obtaining a complete copy of the file.

9
10 37. In furtherance of this civil conspiracy, Doe Defendants committed overt tortious and
11 unlawful acts by using BitTorrent software to download the Work from, and distribute it to, others,
12 and were willful participants in this joint activity.

13
14 38. Doe Defendants were fully aware of their participation in this conspiracy by taking
15 part of these swarms on BitTorrent, and, in downloading Plaintiff's Works, demonstrates their
16 understanding of their role in this conspiracy.

17
18 39. As a proximate result of this conspiracy, Plaintiff has been damaged as alleged above,
19 and seeks just compensation for Doe Defendants' unjust acts.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

20 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff hereby respectfully prays this Court for Judgment and relief as follows:

21 1) That the Court enter a written judgment declaring that the Doe Defendants have
22 infringed Plaintiff's rights in federally registered copyrights under 17 U.S.C. § 501, and that such
23 infringement was willful;

24 2) That the Court enter a written judgment declaring that the Doe Defendants have
25 injured the business reputation and business of Plaintiff by Defendants' acts and conduct set forth in
26 this Complaint;

27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3) That the Court issue injunctive relief against Doe Defendants, enjoing and
restriaining the Doe Defendants and all others in active concert with them from further violating
Plaintiff’s copyrighted Works, and further issue an order impounding or requiring Doe Defendants to
destroy all copies of those unlawfully copyrighted files in Doe Defendants’ possession, custody,
and/or control pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 503 & 509(a);

4) That the Court enter a written judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against Defendants
for actual damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(a) or statutory damages up to one-hundred and fifty-
thousand dollars (\$150,000) pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b), at the election of Plaintiff, in an amount
to be ascertained at trial;

5) As to Count II, that the Court order Doe Defendants jointly and severally liable to
Plaintiff in the full amount of the Judgment on the basis of a common law claim for civil conspiracy
to commit copyright infringement; and for an award of compensatory damages based on the civil
conspiracy count in favor of the Plaintiff and against Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount
to be determined at trial;

6) That the Court enter a written judgment in favor of Plaintiff against the Defendants
awarding the Plaintiff reasonable attorneys’ fees, litigation expenses (including fees and costs of
expert witnesses), and other costs of this action pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505; and

7) That the Court issue any such further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

[intentionally left blank]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Respectfully Submitted,

First Time Videos, LLC

DATED: July 6, 2011

By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq.

Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
Steele Hansmeier PLLC.
38 Miller Avenue, #263
Mill Valley, CA 94941
blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial as provided by FRCP 38(a).

By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq.

Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
Attorney for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT A

Host	ISP	Date/Time (UTC)
108.0.112.204	Verizon Online	2011-05-24: 12:45:42 AM
108.0.191.173	Verizon Online	2011-05-07: 10:20:17 PM
108.0.224.245	Verizon Online	2011-05-29: 01:56:42 AM
108.13.14.11	Verizon Online	2011-06-06: 12:47:39 AM
173.228.6.146	Sonic Telecom	2011-05-17: 09:42:03 AM
173.51.120.58	Verizon Online	2011-05-18: 10:19:38 AM
173.51.42.212	Verizon Online	2011-05-12: 05:26:23 AM
173.51.67.214	Verizon Online	2011-06-16: 06:27:22 PM
173.51.84.54	Verizon Online	2011-05-04: 05:36:58 PM
173.55.147.21	Verizon Online	2011-05-16: 03:44:59 PM
173.55.3.227	Verizon Online	2011-05-06: 04:44:51 AM
173.55.7.173	Verizon Online	2011-06-09: 12:47:07 AM
173.58.251.192	Verizon Online	2011-06-01: 01:23:57 AM
173.60.93.156	Verizon Online	2011-05-25: 09:06:30 PM
174.65.145.65	Cox Communications	2011-06-17: 09:58:02 PM
174.67.195.186	Cox Communications	2011-05-24: 01:27:45 AM
174.67.212.245	Cox Communications	2011-06-16: 09:50:43 AM
174.67.214.165	Cox Communications	2011-05-05: 12:56:11 AM
174.77.40.195	Cox Communications	2011-05-05: 09:15:26 AM
184.8.35.252	Frontier Communications of America	2011-06-18: 07:57:32 PM
208.80.117.82	Unwired	2011-06-17: 08:48:16 AM
209.134.83.206	Road Runner	2011-06-08: 06:36:37 PM
24.10.101.34	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-26: 10:44:10 PM
24.121.31.95	Cablevision of Mammoth Lakes CA	2011-06-27: 11:09:15 PM
24.130.201.238	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-17: 09:07:58 PM
24.130.203.255	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-09: 10:38:18 PM
24.205.19.144	Charter Communications	2011-05-20: 08:25:55 PM
24.23.221.205	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-21: 11:55:20 PM
24.24.223.103	Road Runner	2011-05-24: 04:30:19 AM
24.4.201.136	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-07: 02:04:18 PM
24.4.251.52	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-02: 02:50:11 PM
24.5.11.81	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-17: 03:51:13 AM
24.5.194.50	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-23: 07:31:46 AM
24.5.48.222	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-06: 07:16:12 PM
24.5.84.216	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-05: 07:39:53 AM
24.6.220.14	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-28: 10:49:44 AM
24.7.33.32	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-05: 02:18:39 PM
64.160.96.225	AT&T Internet Services	2011-05-17: 04:02:26 AM
64.30.110.79	Surewest	2011-05-31: 02:15:38 PM

64.30.199.252	LinkLINE Communications	2011-05-10: 04:28:16 PM
64.85.242.113	Wave Broadband	2011-06-25: 10:41:39 PM
66.160.133.102	Hurricane Electric	2011-06-20: 03:40:03 AM
66.74.148.89	Road Runner	2011-06-02: 06:00:20 AM
66.74.234.38	Road Runner	2011-05-03: 02:48:17 PM
66.91.229.49	Road Runner	2011-05-03: 03:40:47 PM
66.91.242.139	Road Runner	2011-05-21: 09:06:48 AM
66.92.32.238	Speakeasy	2011-07-03: 09:05:50 PM
67.101.170.253	Covad Communications	2011-05-08: 10:42:10 AM
67.160.245.45	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-05: 09:07:28 AM
67.160.251.182	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-27: 07:35:00 AM
67.161.190.87	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-27: 08:54:27 AM
67.164.210.119	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-25: 09:09:18 AM
67.164.217.195	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-08: 02:46:26 AM
67.169.150.10	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-04: 09:22:04 PM
67.169.65.233	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-02: 03:12:47 AM
67.180.61.115	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-17: 12:20:05 AM
67.181.129.52	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-17: 07:38:01 PM
67.187.236.39	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-10: 11:42:02 PM
67.188.112.188	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-26: 09:37:40 AM
67.49.46.27	Road Runner	2011-06-01: 06:54:38 AM
68.105.87.146	Cox Communications	2011-06-11: 03:03:27 PM
68.106.216.152	Cox Communications	2011-06-11: 10:12:36 AM
68.108.246.147	Cox Communications	2011-05-10: 08:06:35 AM
68.111.183.30	Cox Communications	2011-05-24: 12:38:13 AM
68.123.152.170	AT&T Internet Services	2011-05-28: 10:44:08 PM
68.127.120.127	AT&T Internet Services	2011-05-06: 11:36:33 AM
68.183.64.98	DSL Extreme	2011-06-21: 07:20:56 PM
68.4.75.242	Cox Communications	2011-05-13: 08:08:51 AM
68.4.83.88	Cox Communications	2011-06-10: 11:00:07 PM
68.5.40.51	Cox Communications	2011-05-06: 07:42:27 AM
68.5.95.120	Cox Communications	2011-06-23: 08:45:08 AM
68.6.109.142	Cox Communications	2011-07-05: 07:10:57 AM
68.7.233.190	Cox Communications	2011-05-13: 03:04:42 AM
68.8.1.154	Cox Communications	2011-06-11: 03:30:06 AM
69.106.229.29	AT&T Internet Services	2011-05-06: 09:14:21 PM
69.181.103.199	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-17: 06:13:11 AM
69.181.138.162	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-17: 09:23:18 PM
69.181.163.176	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-23: 06:07:14 AM
69.181.72.77	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-09: 12:35:08 AM
69.181.75.65	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-17: 02:21:58 PM
69.181.76.77	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-08: 08:40:36 AM
69.224.43.79	AT&T Internet Services	2011-05-27: 02:48:41 PM
70.181.107.8	Cox Communications	2011-05-29: 12:37:02 PM
70.181.196.230	Cox Communications	2011-06-05: 10:30:41 PM
70.181.203.70	Cox Communications	2011-06-01: 11:35:11 PM
70.36.232.115	Sonic Telecom	2011-05-04: 09:33:36 PM

71.110.127.144	Verizon Online	2011-05-04: 02:05:08 PM
71.131.192.227	AT&T Internet Services	2011-06-20: 08:00:14 AM
71.146.76.1	AT&T Internet Services	2011-06-11: 12:45:07 AM
71.189.135.68	Verizon Online	2011-06-16: 01:27:29 AM
71.195.119.167	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-18: 04:34:58 AM
71.202.153.80	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-04: 07:28:03 PM
71.202.72.53	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-28: 09:31:14 AM
71.84.5.231	Charter Communications	2011-05-04: 02:05:08 PM
72.129.60.152	Road Runner	2011-06-17: 11:08:22 AM
72.134.40.218	Road Runner	2011-05-31: 08:14:20 PM
72.194.220.165	Cox Communications	2011-05-13: 10:08:24 AM
72.197.153.16	Cox Communications	2011-06-10: 04:20:40 AM
72.199.64.169	Cox Communications	2011-05-04: 05:33:28 PM
72.211.215.138	Cox Communications	2011-05-13: 08:59:16 PM
72.211.247.219	Cox Communications	2011-06-01: 06:31:37 AM
72.87.242.38	Verizon Online	2011-05-05: 06:15:21 AM
74.195.16.59	Suddenlink Communications	2011-06-17: 03:45:08 PM
75.109.138.135	Suddenlink Communications	2011-05-28: 09:34:07 PM
75.111.42.67	Suddenlink Communications	2011-06-17: 09:36:43 PM
75.140.107.222	Charter Communications	2011-05-24: 09:11:51 PM
75.141.106.97	Charter Communications	2011-07-03: 09:52:51 PM
75.28.104.31	AT&T Internet Services	2011-05-26: 04:05:48 AM
75.30.148.12	AT&T Internet Services	2011-05-03: 03:03:56 PM
75.4.241.96	AT&T Internet Services	2011-06-14: 02:09:59 AM
75.41.58.36	AT&T Internet Services	2011-06-15: 11:17:12 PM
75.5.8.176	AT&T Internet Services	2011-06-14: 07:14:45 PM
75.61.122.188	AT&T Internet Services	2011-06-10: 10:22:21 AM
75.82.234.61	Road Runner	2011-05-18: 12:54:51 AM
75.85.169.40	Road Runner	2011-05-08: 08:37:37 AM
75.85.18.174	Road Runner	2011-05-08: 06:08:01 AM
76.102.237.88	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-15: 05:31:00 PM
76.102.34.239	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-18: 05:29:38 AM
76.102.42.71	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-04: 02:04:40 PM
76.103.100.48	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-20: 02:34:03 PM
76.103.31.28	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-28: 09:03:37 PM
76.103.92.84	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-07: 09:27:45 PM
76.114.56.94	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-26: 03:37:29 PM
76.126.56.4	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-28: 07:17:31 PM
76.167.128.168	Road Runner	2011-05-06: 07:31:28 AM
76.170.18.25	Road Runner	2011-06-17: 06:59:01 PM
76.170.88.202	Road Runner	2011-05-23: 10:53:10 PM
76.171.244.113	Road Runner	2011-05-12: 09:13:02 PM
76.171.52.210	Road Runner	2011-05-27: 04:39:19 PM
76.173.184.110	Road Runner	2011-06-07: 12:02:48 AM
76.174.156.227	Road Runner	2011-06-21: 02:52:07 AM
76.174.219.31	Road Runner	2011-05-23: 07:11:47 AM
76.175.179.192	Road Runner	2011-05-09: 01:11:36 AM

76.175.219.191	Road Runner	2011-05-06: 02:49:10 PM
76.176.48.159	Road Runner	2011-05-03: 02:48:23 PM
76.194.212.190	AT&T Internet Services	2011-06-01: 07:24:38 AM
76.194.229.19	AT&T Internet Services	2011-05-07: 09:41:48 PM
76.20.124.193	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-16: 04:24:00 PM
76.20.21.26	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-10: 08:15:22 PM
76.21.118.39	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-31: 04:32:41 PM
76.248.235.216	AT&T Internet Services	2011-06-03: 03:36:05 AM
76.255.193.230	AT&T Internet Services	2011-05-24: 06:11:44 PM
76.88.84.216	Road Runner	2011-05-05: 06:11:50 AM
76.89.238.13	Road Runner	2011-05-28: 06:44:43 AM
76.90.13.193	Road Runner	2011-05-03: 03:03:46 PM
76.90.54.152	Road Runner	2011-05-18: 07:10:32 AM
76.91.157.165	Road Runner	2011-06-10: 08:22:48 PM
76.91.175.221	Road Runner	2011-06-03: 10:58:39 PM
76.91.203.151	Road Runner	2011-05-05: 06:35:53 AM
76.91.63.188	Road Runner	2011-05-24: 09:39:58 AM
76.95.255.54	Road Runner	2011-05-04: 02:03:40 PM
96.229.201.158	Verizon Online	2011-05-22: 06:00:23 PM
96.248.230.239	Verizon Online	2011-05-07: 09:43:22 PM
96.39.239.217	Charter Communications	2011-06-01: 11:08:22 PM
97.90.129.88	Charter Communications	2011-05-05: 12:15:40 AM
97.90.143.85	Charter Communications	2011-05-12: 12:11:42 AM
98.119.154.120	Verizon Online	2011-05-27: 01:18:17 AM
98.148.241.111	Road Runner	2011-05-18: 11:55:03 PM
98.148.81.161	Road Runner	2011-05-28: 05:37:08 AM
98.148.86.74	Road Runner	2011-06-14: 08:09:00 PM
98.148.95.251	Road Runner	2011-05-18: 08:47:07 AM
98.149.141.4	Road Runner	2011-06-20: 06:04:47 AM
98.151.138.15	Road Runner	2011-06-18: 05:42:56 PM
98.154.255.113	Road Runner	2011-06-08: 06:56:08 PM
98.155.63.201	Road Runner	2011-05-31: 04:35:17 PM
98.173.219.203	Cox Communications	2011-05-21: 09:06:17 AM
98.176.139.52	Cox Communications	2011-05-22: 12:33:13 PM
98.176.211.86	Cox Communications	2011-06-15: 09:20:50 PM
98.207.196.239	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-28: 05:05:11 AM
98.207.206.177	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-13: 01:44:13 AM
98.207.53.103	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-12: 07:56:25 AM
98.208.123.9	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-13: 10:18:20 PM
98.208.54.208	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-07: 08:56:48 PM
98.210.145.74	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-24: 08:12:26 AM
98.210.158.18	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-14: 02:15:25 AM
98.234.197.242	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-23: 08:32:05 PM
98.234.35.89	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-27: 07:11:25 AM
98.234.38.72	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-21: 11:25:10 AM
98.234.52.192	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-22: 04:16:12 AM
98.238.137.246	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-23: 08:13:36 AM

98.248.103.49	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-20: 09:22:56 PM
98.248.239.118	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-05-04: 05:37:28 PM
98.248.78.141	Comcast Cable Communications	2011-06-08: 09:02:34 PM
99.152.23.146	AT&T Internet Services	2011-05-06: 01:33:23 AM
99.54.44.218	AT&T Internet Services	2011-05-27: 10:14:00 PM
99.56.81.242	AT&T Internet Services	2011-06-16: 04:43:22 PM