

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

Third Degree Films, Inc. )  
20525 Nordhoff Street, Suite 25 )  
Chatsworth, CA 91311 )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
DOES 1 – 152 )  
 )  
Defendants. )

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Third Degree Films, Inc. (also referred to as 3<sup>rd</sup> Degree Films or “Plaintiff”) for its Complaint against Defendants Doe 1 through Doe 152 (collectively referred to as “Defendants”), alleges as set forth below.

**NATURE OF THE CLAIM, JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This is an action for copyright infringement under the United States Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 etc. This Court has jurisdiction under 17 US.C. §101 *et seq.*, 28 US.C. § 1331 (federal question), and 28 US.C. § 1338(a) (copyright).

2. Venue in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and/or 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a). Although the true identity of each Defendant is unknown to the Plaintiff at this time, on information and belief, each Defendant may be found in this District and/or a substantial part of the alleged events occurred and/or have a significant effect within this District. On information and belief, personal jurisdiction in this District is proper because each Defendant, without

consent or permission of Plaintiff as the exclusive rights owner, intentionally and willfully distributed, and offered to distribute over the Internet, copyrighted works for which Plaintiff has exclusive rights. In addition, each Defendant contracted with an Internet Service Provider found in this District to provide each Defendant with access to the Internet. Therefore, venue in this Court is proper in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(a).

### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

3. Plaintiff Third Degree Films, Inc. is a corporation duly formed and existing under the laws of California, and has a principal place of business at 20525 Nordhoff Street, Suite 25, Chatsworth, CA 91311.

4. The true names of Defendants are unknown to the Plaintiff at this time. Each Defendant is known to Plaintiff only by the Internet Protocol (“IP”) address assigned to that Defendant by his, her or its Internet Service Provider on the date and at the time at which the infringing activity of each Defendant was observed. The IP address of each Defendant, together with the date and time at which his, her or its infringing activity was observed, is included on **Exhibit A** which is attached hereto. The technology used to identify each Defendant is explained in **Exhibit B**. On information and belief, Plaintiff states that information obtained in discovery will lead to the identification of each Defendant’s true name and address and will permit Plaintiff to amend this Complaint to state the same.

5. The Motion Picture “All About Kagney Linn Karter” (the “Motion Picture”) was produced by Third Degree and released on April 26, 2011. *See information about the Motion Picture on Plaintiff’s website [www.thirddegreefilms.com](http://www.thirddegreefilms.com) and Copyright Registration.* It is offered for sale as a DVD for \$24.29 through various vendors, including [www.cduniverse.com](http://www.cduniverse.com). The Motion Picture can also be purchased in other formats.

6. Through the use of torrent technology, the Defendants in this case began deliberate distribution of unlawful copies of the Motion Picture only days after its release.

7. The torrent protocol makes home computers with low bandwidth capable of participating in large data transfers across so-called “Peer-to-Peer” (P2P) networks. The first file-provider decides to share a file (“seed”) with a torrent network. Then other users (“peers”) within the network connect to the seed file for downloading. As additional peers request the same file, they become part of the same network. Unlike a traditional P2P network, each new peer receives a different piece of the data from each peer who has already downloaded the file. This system of multiple pieces of data coming from peers is called a “swarm.” As a result, every downloader is also an uploader of the illegally transferred file and is simultaneously taking copyrighted material from many ISPs in numerous jurisdictions around the country.

8. In this case, the devices connected to all IP addresses identified in Exhibit A have utilized the same exact hash mark (a 40-character hexadecimal string which through cryptographic methods clearly identifies the Release, comparable to a forensic digital fingerprint) which establishes them as having taken part in the same series of transactions. All alleged infringers downloaded the same copyrighted work while trading in the same torrent. By using geo-location technology, Plaintiff has attempted to ensure that the IP addresses are likely within the geographic location of the Court. The time period during which the identified illegal downloads occurred is limited (May-July 2011) to ensure commonality amongst the alleged infringers. The alleged infringers so identified downloaded the copyrighted work as part of the same series of transactions or occurrences and are thus specifically and directly related.

**COUNT I**

**COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENTS UNDER 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 ET SEQ.**

9. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-8, above.

10. Plaintiff is a motion picture production company. Plaintiff is, and at all relevant times has been, the owner of the copyrights and/or the owner of the exclusive rights under the copyrights in the United States in the Motion Picture at issue.

11. The Motion Picture is an original work that may be copyrighted under United States law. The Motion Picture is the subject of Copyright Registration No. PA0001745129/2011-07-11, and Plaintiff owns that registration. The title of the Motion Picture and its copyright registration number are included in **Exhibit C**. The Copyright Registration was filed within three months of the first publication of the Motion Picture, which was April 26, 2011. Thus, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory remedies of the U.S. Copyright Act.

12. Plaintiff has either published or licensed for publication all copies of the Motion Picture in compliance with the copyright laws.

13. **Exhibit A** identifies each Defendant (one Defendant per row in the table set out in **Exhibit A**) that has, without the permission or consent of the Plaintiff, reproduced and distributed to the public at least a substantial portion of the Motion Picture. That is, each Defendant listed in **Exhibit A** has, without permission or consent of Plaintiff, reproduced and distributed to the public at least a substantial portion of Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture.

14. **Exhibit A** also sets out the Internet Protocol ("IP") address associated with each respective Defendant, the identity of the Internet Service Provider (often referred to as an "ISP") associated with the IP address, the last-observed date and time ("Timestamp") that the

infringement by that Defendant of Plaintiff's copyright in the Motion Picture was observed and the software protocol used by the Defendant.

15. Further, Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the Defendants, without the permission or consent of Plaintiff, has used, and continues to use, an online media distribution system (sometimes referred to as a "peer to peer" network or a "P2P" network) to reproduce at least one copy of the Motion Picture, and to distribute to the public, including by making available for distribution to others, copies of the Motion Picture. In doing so, each Defendant has violated, and continues to violate, Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution protected under the Copyright Act of 1976 (17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.), including under 17 U.S.C. § 106(1) and (3).

16. Each Defendant has acted in cooperation with the other Defendants by agreeing to provide, and actually providing, on a P2P network an infringing reproduction of at least substantial portions of Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture, in anticipation of the other Defendants doing likewise with respect to that work and/or other works. Further in this regard, all the Defendants have engaged in a related series of transactions to engage in unlawful reproduction and distribution of Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture.

17. Each of the Defendant's acts of infringement have been willful, intentional, and in disregard of and with indifference to the rights of Plaintiff. The technology used to identify each Defendant is explained in **Exhibit B**.

18. Plaintiff has suffered both money damages and irreparable harm as a result of each Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Motion Picture. In addition, discovery may disclose that one or more of the Defendants obtained profits as a result of such infringement.

19. As a result of each Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to monetary relief pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504, which may include Plaintiff's damages caused by each Defendant and each Defendant's profits and/or statutory damages, and to Plaintiff's attorney fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

20. The conduct of each Defendant is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by this Court will continue to cause, Plaintiff great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 502 and 503, the Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting each Defendant from further infringing Plaintiff's copyrights and ordering that each Defendant destroy all copies of the copyrighted motion pictures made in violation of the Plaintiffs' copyrights.

### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment against each Defendant as follows:

A. For a judgment that such Defendant has infringed Plaintiff's copyright in the Motion Picture;

B. For entry of preliminary and permanent injunctions providing that such Defendant shall be enjoined from directly or indirectly infringing the Plaintiffs' rights in the Motion Picture, including without limitation by using the Internet to reproduce or copy the Motion Picture, to distribute the Motion Picture, or to make the Motion Picture available for distribution to anyone, except pursuant to a lawful license or with the express authority of Plaintiffs;

C. For entry of preliminary and permanent mandatory injunctions providing that such Defendant shall destroy all copies of the Motion Picture that Defendant has downloaded onto any







### VERIFICATION

I, Mike Meier, am the attorney who prepared this Complaint. I verify and declare under 28 USC § 1746 that I have read the foregoing Complaint and it is, based on my personal knowledge and information I have reviewed, true. In particular, I have taken the following steps to ensure that Complaint and its allegations comply with all requirements:

- 1) I have personally discussed in detail the data about alleged infringers (identified by IP address) with a technical specialist at the Copyright Enforcement Group, Mr. Jon Nicolini, Vice President of Technology. Mr. Jon Nicolini explained the commonality of all identified IP addresses: (a) The devices connected to all IP addresses identified have utilized the same exact hash mark (a 40-character hexadecimal string which through cryptographic methods clearly identifies the Release - comparable to a fingerprint) which corroborates them within the same series of transactions; (b) all alleged infringers downloaded the same copyrighted work while trading in the same torrent; (c) Mr. Jon Nicolini also explained that he made an effort to create a list of alleged infringers who are located within the jurisdiction of the court where the Complaint is to be filed by using geo-location technology; (d) Mr. Jon Nicolini specifically limited the time period during which the investigated alleged downloads occurred to ensure existing commonality amongst the Defendants, thus demonstrating that the alleged infringers were likely within the same swarm and engaged in a series of related transactions. The identified IP addresses shared the files around the same time. Mr. Jon Nicolini explained that the alleged infringers so identified downloaded the copyrighted work as part of the same series of transactions or occurrences, and are thus related.
- 2) Mr. Jon Nicolini further confirmed to me the direct digital connection and relationship among the infringers based on the torrent process and provided the following additional information: The process begins with one user accessing the Internet through an Internet Service Provider ("ISP") and intentionally making a digital file of the work available on the Internet to the public from his or her computer. This first file is often referred to as the first "seed." The person making this seed available as the "original seeder." Persons seeking to download such a work also access the Internet through an ISP (which may or may not be the same ISP as used by the original seeder) and seek out the work on a P2P network. With the availability of the seed, other users, who are referred to as "peers," access the Internet and request the file (by searching for its title or even searching for the torrent's "hash") and engage the original seeder and/or each other in a group, sometimes referred to as a "swarm," and begin downloading the seed file. In turn, as each peer receives portions of the seed, most often that peer makes those portions available to other peers in the swarm. Therefore, each peer in the swarm is at least copying and is usually distributing, as a follow-on seeder, copyrighted material at the same time. Any BitTorrent client may be used to join a swarm. As more peers join a swarm at any one instant, they obtain the content at even greater speeds because of the increasing number of peers simultaneously offering the content as seeders themselves for



**EXHIBITS:**

Exhibit A – Table of Last-Observed Infringements by Defendants of Third Degree's Copyright in the Motion Picture "All About Kagney Linn Karter," Copyright Reg. No. PA0001745129.

Exhibit B – Technology Declaration of Mr. Jon Nicolini, explaining the technology used to identify the alleged copyright infringers

Exhibit C – Copyright registration record of the Motion Picture at issue

Exhibit A – Table of Last-Observed  
Infringements by Defendants of Third Degree's  
Copyright in the Motion Picture "All About  
Kagney Linn Karter," Copyright Reg. No.  
PA0001745129.

Exhibit B – Technology Declaration of Mr. Jon Nicolini, explaining the technology used to identify the alleged copyright infringers

## Exhibit C – Copyright registration record of the Motion Picture at issue